United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/812,247	03/19/2001	Bradley S. Hoyl	CIS0118US	7809
33031	7590 05/17/2007		EXAMINER	
	STEPHENSON ASCOL	ESE, LLP		
4807 SPICEWOOD SPRINGS RD. BLDG. 4, SUITE 201		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
AUSTIN, TX	78759		•	
•			DATE MAILED: 05/17/200	7

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Applicant(s) Application No. HOYL ET AL. Notification of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief 09/812.247 (37 CFR 41.37) Examiner Art Unit Kimberly T. Wood 3632

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address--

The Appeal Brief filed on 27 October 2006 is defective for failure to comply with one or more provisions of 37 CFR 41.37.

To avoid dismissal of the appeal, applicant must file anamended brief or other appropriate correction (see MPEP 1205.03) within ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS from the mailing date of this Notification, whichever is longer. EXTENSIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136.

1. 🗌	The brief does not contain the items required under 37 CFR 41.37(c), or the items are not under the proper heading or in the proper order.		
2. 🗌	The brief does not contain a statement of the status of all claims, (e.g., rejected, allowed, withdrawn, objected to, canceled), or does not identify the appealed claims (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iii)).		
3. 🗌	At least one amendment has been filed subsequent to the final rejection, and the brief does not contain a statement of the status of each such amendment (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iv)).		
4. 🛚	(a) The brief does not contain a concise explanation of the subject matter defined in each of the independent claims involved in the appeal, referring to the specification by page and line number and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters; and/or (b) the brief fails to: (1) identify, for each independent claim involved in the appeal and for each dependent claim argued separately, every means plus function and step plus function under 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph, and/or (2) set forth the structure, material, or acts described in the specification as corresponding to each claimed function with reference to the specification by page and line number, and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(v)).		
5. 🛚	The brief does not contain a concise statement of each ground of rejection presented for review (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vi))		
6. 🛚	The brief does not present an argument under a separate heading for each ground of rejection on appeal (37 41.37(c)(1)(vii)).		
7. 🗌	The brief does not contain a correct copy of the appealed claims as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(viii)).		
8. 🗌	The brief does not contain copies of the evidence submitted under 37 CFR 1.130, 1.131, or 1.132 or of any other evidence entered by the examiner and relied upon by appellant in the appeal , along with a statement setting forth where in the record that evidence was entered by the examiner, as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(ix)).		
9. 🗌	The brief does not contain copies of the decisions rendered by a court or the Board in the proceeding identified in the Related Appeals and Interferences section of the brief as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(x)).		
10.🛛	Other (including any explanation in support of the above items):		
	The applicant has submitted under the concise explanation of the subject matter that the means for supporting one or more cables includes a cable fastener more information is required to clearly understand this means for supporting.		
	the examiner has also rejected the claims, 20-23, and 31-37 under Lutz 5,666,265 in ivew of Delk et al. 5,300,037 however the applicant has not placed this rejection under the concise statement of each ground of jection presented for review and there are no argument under a separate heading for this ground of rejection.		

/Kimberly T. Wood/ Kimberly T. Wood Primary Examiner Art Unit 3682